

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

LM02/0721

09/203,672

12/01/98

SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS

2100 PENNSYVANIA AVENUE NW

WASHINGTON DC 20037-3202

WEN

EXAMINER

AN,5

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2713

DATE MAILED:

07/21/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/203,672

Applicant(s)

Wen et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Shawn An

Group Art Unit 2713



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claims	
 ☑ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num.) 	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). the priority documents have been
received in this national stage application from the li	nternational Bureau (PCT Hule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/203,672

Art Unit: 2713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The specification does not disclose an apparatus and/or a method of extending a code field. Therefore, the Examiner interprets the claim 1 as defining a data existing in a code bit field, which implies the code field being a non-function descriptive element. Since, the claim 1 limitation does not cause a machine to function properly, the claim 1 and depending claims 2-7 are considered non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.
- A) Claim 3, line 2, "MPEG-4" is considered vague and indefinite because Applicant has not provided a copy of the particular revision of the "MPEG standard" or has not sufficiently included the specifics of "the MPEG standard" in the specification.

Art Unit: 2713

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
 - A) Lee et al (5,748,789), Transparent block skipping in object-based video coding systems.
 - B) Das et al (5,896,176), Content based video compression.
 - C) Sun et al (5,790,196), Adaptive video coding method.
- 6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number is (703) 305-0099.



80

ssa

July 19, 1999